## OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY POSTAL RATE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20268-0001

MAR 17 3 37 PM '00 POSTLA PLANT THE OFFICE OF THE PROOF

POSTAL RATE AND FEE CHANGES, 2000

Docket No. R2000-1

## AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION AND NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS SECOND SET OF JOINT INTERROGATORIES TO USPS WITNESS MILLER (ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-25-29)

(March 17, 2000)

Pursuant to Sections 25 and 26 of the Rules of Practice of the Postal Rate

Commission, the American Bankers Association and the National Association of Presort

Mailers hereby submit these joint interrogatories and requests for production of documents.

The instructions included with interrogatories ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-1-24 (February 18, 2000) are hereby incorporated by reference.

#### ABA&NAPM/USPS T 24-25

For the 1995 through 1998 CRA's please describe for each year's CRA, all changes which were made in methodology from the immediately previous year's CRA, which changes affected First Class costs and Standard A Commercial costs.

#### ABA&NAPM/USPS T24-26

Under your new methodology in this case, unit mail processing cost avoidance has risen for 3 digit presorted First Class letters, over basic, by comparison with USPS estimates in R97-1 (0.89 cents in this case, 0.77 cents in R97-1), but has fallen for 5 digit presorted letters, over basic, (1.0850 cents in this case, 1.5212 cents in R97-1).

a. How does this discrepancy comport with your generalized conclusion that cost avoidance is shrinking?

- b. Does your view that cost avoidance is shrinking focus on just mail processing cost avoidances?
- c. If your answer to b. is other than an unqualified "Yes.", please explain where delivery cost avoidances are shrinking by comparison with USPS R97-1 numbers for any of the following three rate categories of First Class workshared letter mail: basic automation, automation 3 digit. automation 5 digit.

#### ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-27

- a. Please confirm that your Column (l), Mail Processing Total Unit Cost from your Appendix I, page I-1, table "First-Class Letters Summary", is the same methodologically as USPS witness Hatfield's column (4), page 1, of Exhibit USPS-25A from R97-1, labeled "Total Cost" (copy attached).
- b. If you do not confirm in a., please answer only sub-part b. of ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-28 below, and please explain here in full detail by cost pool or other factor, model or non-model, exactly what the differences are, and do this in a manner which is methodologically consistent with the Hatfield numbers from R97-1.
- c. If you do confirm in a., please answer<u>all</u> sub-parts to the ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-28 below.

### ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-28

a. Please confirm that using USPS witnesses Hatfield's, Hume's, and Daniel's methods from R97-1 for <u>both</u> that case and this case, total cost avoidances have increased from 5.698 cents in R97-1 to 6.2170 cents in R2000-1 for a basic automation First Class letter, and have increased (over basic) from 0.8301 cents to 0.9850 cents for a First Class automated, 3 digit letter.

- b. Please confirm that using USPS witnesses Hatfield's, Hume's, and Daniel's methods from R97-1 for those cost avoidances, and your new methods for R2000-1 cost avoidances that: (i) the cost avoidance for a First Class automation 3 digit letter over a First Class automation basic letter has increased from 0.8301 cents to 0.9850 cents.
- c. In creating a new methodology for measuring cost avoidance for basic automation letters in this case, were you at all knowledgeable of or did you suspect the fact that under the R97-1 methodology, cost avoidance of First Class automation basic letters had increased by over 0.5 cents since the last rate case?
- d. If your answer to c. is in the affirmative, in creating your new methodology, were you at all influenced by the increase in cost avoidance noted in c.?

#### ABA&NAPM/USPS-T24-29

Please refer to the attached table, which reproduces the cost pools used for estimating unit mail processing costs in this case and in R97-1. The items in the four boxes are different categorizations as between the two cases.

- a. For each of the 42 cost pools which have identical labels as between the two rate cases, please define each one and state whether it entails exactly the same operations or work activity as between the two rate cases. If the operations are identical for both cases, and a detailed explanation of each cost pool has been provided in an existing reference, please provide that reference, or a citation to it if it is publicly available.
- b. Please define for R2000-1 cost pools 43 and 44, and state how they differ from cost pool 43 in R97-1.
- c. Please define for R2000-1 cost pools 45-52, and state how they differ from cost pool 46 in R97-1.
- d. For R97-1 cost pools 43-45, please explain why these categories do not appear in R2000-1.

## Respectfully submitted,

## AMERICAN BANKERS ASSOCIATION NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF PRESORT MAILERS

By:

Henry A. Hart, Esq.
Reed Smith Shaw & McClay LLP
1301 K Street N.W.
Suite 1100 - East Tower
Washington, DC 20005

Ph: 202-414-9225 Fax: 202-414-9299

Counsel for National Association of Presort Mailers

Date: March 17, 2000 Washington, D.C. Irving D. Warden Assoc. General Counsel American Bankers Association 1120 Connecticut Ave., NW Washington, DC 20036

Ph: 202-663-5035 Fax: 202-828-4548

Counsel for American Bankers Association

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that I have this date served the instant document on all participants of record in this proceeding in accordance with Section 12 of the Rules of Practice.

Henry A. Hart

March 17, 2000

# Exhibit A: First-Class Mail Processing Cost Summary Calculation of Total Mail Processing Costs

#### First-Class Letters

	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	
	Model	Proportional	Fixed	Total	
	Cost	Adjustment	Adjustment	Cost	
Nonautomation presort	5.9053	1.1586	0.3573	7.1993	
Automation basic presort	4.2822	1.1586	0.3573	5.3188	
Automation 3-digit presort	3.6167	1.1586	0.3573	4.5477	
Automation 5-digit presort	2.3038	1.1586	0.3573	3.0265	
Automation carrier route presort				2.2910 1/	

#### First-Class Cards

	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	
	Model	Proportional	Fixed	Total	
	Cost	Adjustment	Adjustment	Cost	
Nonautomation presort	4.1793	1.0869	0.1754	4.7178	
Automation basic presort	3.0306	1.0869	0.1754	3.4693	
Automation 3-digit presort	2.5596	1.0869	0.1754	2.9574	
Automation 5-digit presort	1.6304	1.0869	0.1754	1.9475	
Automation carrier route presort				0.6204 <b>2</b> /	

Row 1/: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 2 of 3, row 4.

Row 2/: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 3 of 3, row 5.

Column [1]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 2 of 3, column 5.

Column [2]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 2 of 3, row 8.

Column [3]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 2 of 3, row 9.

Column [4]: Column 1 \* column 2 + column 3.

Column [5]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 3 of 3, column 2.

Column [6]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 3 of 3, row 9.

Column [7]: Exhibit USPS-25A, page 3 of 3, row 10.

Column [8]: Column 6 \* column 7 + column 8.

# **USPS Mail Processing Cost Pools**

R-2000-1			R-97-1			
Cost			-	Cost		
Pool		Cost Pool		Pool		Cost Pool
No	Source	<u>Abbreviation</u>		No	Source	Abbreviation
1	BMCS	NMO		1	BMCs	nmo
2	BMCS	OTHR		2	BMCs	Othr
3	BMCS	PLA		3	BMCs	Pla
4	BMCS	PSM		4	BMCs	psm
5	BMCS	SPB		5	BMCs	spb
6	BMCS	SSM		6	BMCs	ssm
7	MODS	BCS/		7	mods	bcs/
8	MODS	OCR/		8	mods	ocr/
9	MODS	FSM/		9	mods	fsm/
10	MODS	LSM/		10	mods	Ism/
11	MODS	MECPARC		11	mods	mecparc
				12	mods	•
12	MODS	SPBS OTH				spbs Oth
13	MODS	SPBSPRIO		13	mods	spbsPrio
14	MODS	1SACKS M		14	mods	1SackS_m
15	MODS	MANF		15	mods	manf
16	MODS	MANL		16	mods	manl
17	MODS	MANP		17	mods	manp
18	MODS	PRIORITY		18	mods	priority
19	MODS	LD15		19	mods	LD15
20	MODS	1BULKPR		20	mods	1Bulk pr
21	MODS	1CANCMMP		21	mods	1CancMPP
22	MODS	10PBULK		22	mods	10Pbulk
23	MODS	10PPREF		23	mods	10Ppref
24	MODS	1PLATFRM		24	mods	1Platfrm
25	MODS	1POUCHING		25	mods	1POUCHNG
26	MODS	1SACKS H		26	mods	1SackS_h
27	MODS	1SCAN		27	mods	1SCAN
28	MODS	BUSREPLY		28	mods	BusReply
29	MODS	EXPRESS		29	mods	express
30	MODS	MAILGRAM		30	mods	MAILGRAM
31	MODS	REGISTRY		31	mods	Registry
32	MODS	REWRAP		32	mods	REWRAP
33	MODS	1EEQMT		33	mods	1EEQMT
34	MODS	INTL		34	mods	INTL
35	MODS	LD41		35	mods	LD41
36	MODS	LD42		36	mods	LD42
37	MODS	LD43		37	mods	LD43
38	MODS	LD44		38	mods	LD44
39	MODS	LD48 EXP		39	mods	LD48 Exp
40	MODS	LD48 SSV		40	mods	LD48_SSv
41	MODS	LD49		41	mods	LD49
42	MODS	LD79		42	mods	LD79
42	MODS	LD/5		72	illous	LD/ \$
43	MODS	1SUPP F1		43	mods	1SUPPORT
44	MODS	1SUPP F4		44	mode	1MISC
				45	mods	LD48 Oth
45		ALLIED				
46	NORMOR	AUTOMECH	[	46	Non Mods	
47	NONMODS	EXPRESS		47		
48	NONWOOS	Microsoft Control of the Control of	ļ	48		į
49	NONMODS			49		
50	NONMODS			50		
51	NONMODS	and the second s		51		
52		REGISTRY		52		

Source: USPS LR-1-81 & USPS LR-106 R97-1, Page II-5.